

ON THE RADIATING AND DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF
THE SOLAR UPPER ATMOSPHERE

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO
THE DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND APPLIED PHYSICS
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By
David Hamilton Brooks
March 1997

© Copyright 1999

The copyright of this thesis belongs to the author under the terms of the United Kingdom Copyright Acts as qualified by University of Strathclyde Regulation 3.49. Due acknowledgement must always be made of the use of any material contained in, or derived from, this thesis.

Abstract

The spectral variability of the solar upper atmosphere observed from spaceborne satellites has highlighted the need for a reappraisal of the atomic models entering prediction of emission. Solar atmospheric dynamics clearly takes place on timescales shorter than those of ionisation relaxation. Dynamical models of the solar plasma thus require a matching dynamic model at the atomic level to underpin conclusions drawn from the spectral interpretation. The Atomic Data and Analysis Structure (ADAS) (Summers(1994)), used successfully in modelling radiating dynamic plasmas at JET Joint Undertaking, has been extended to astrophysical applications to remove the uncertainty introduced by common oversimplifications. The atomic models are based on generalised collisional-radiative theory. This thesis utilises ADAS in analysis of data from the SOHO satellite while maintaining awareness of the limitations of the analysis methods. Developments are as follows.

Methods to allow flexibility in excited population structure resolution levels, between fusion and astrophysics, have been developed and integrated into the ADAS system.

Methods to calculate experimentally linked derived data including, for example, a metastable resolved density dependent equilibrium ionisation balance, have also been developed. Original results are presented for oxygen, based on a critical review and upgrade of the existing fundamental database and the SOHO instrumentation requirements. This provides an illustration of the atomic modelling analysis path for solar applications.

Extensive investigation of SOHO data and experimental analysis techniques has been undertaken to allow coupling of the data stream to a differential emission measure code in ADAS. Preliminary results from analysis of CDS-NIS data are presented along with a study of the statistical variability of the spectral lines, extending the methods of Lang et al.(1990).

Finally, an outline of the implications for future models of the solar atmosphere is discussed.

Acknowledgments

During the course of completing this thesis I have had the pleasure of meeting and working with many people who I would now like to thank.

I would first, and foremost, like to thank my supervisor Prof. Hugh Summers. His enthusiasm and encouragement were a constant source of motivation and without his innovation the thesis would not have been completed. I would like to thank my joint supervisor from Rutherford Appleton Laboratory Dr. Jim Lang. His encouragement, interest and willingness to help was invaluable. I would like to thank Dr. Alessandro Lanzafame of the Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania for many helpful discussions and sociable evenings away on working trips. I would like to thank Dr. Peter McWhirter for guidance in the latter stages of this work. Thanks are also due to Dr. Davina Innes for use of her SUMER explosive event data and for being an excellent host during my visit to the Max Planck Institut in Germany.

I would like to thank the Principal Investigators of CDS and SUMER, Dr. Richard Harrison and Dr. Klaus Wilhelm for allowing me to use the observational data presented in this thesis. Thanks also to Gordon Fischbacher and Enrico Landi for taking the data processing load off of me in the final months of this work. I would like to thank Dr. Peter MacNeice from NASA-Goddard who supplied a copy of his hydrodynamic flare code for my use. Thanks also to Dr. Nigel Badnell from Strathclyde University for computing advice and the use of his extensive dielectronic recombination calculations. I am grateful to Dr. Ken Phillips and Dr. Robbie Stewart for helpful suggestions to improve this manuscript.

I would also like to thank the members of my office Harvey Anderson, Dr Costanza Maggi, Mark McPhail, Alan Price, Kevin Smith and Dr Tim Hammond and Dr William Osborn of Tessella Computing Consultants for many interesting discussions not all of which were related to the work of this thesis.

I would like to acknowledge support of the EPSRC and a CASE studentship with Rutherford Appleton Laboratory without which the work would definitely not have been completed and the Max Planck Institut for giving me a fellowship to travel to Germany.

On a personal note I would like to thank my mother, father, many friends and

family for their constant interest and encouragement. I would also like to specially mention my brother Nicholas Brooks. Finally I would like to say a special thank you to Ms Eilean P.Kelly. Without her belief, support and affection this work would not have been completed.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Outline of Capabilities of CDS and SUMER Spectrometers on SOHO | 3 |
| 1.1.1 | Coronal Diagnostic Spectrometer | 4 |
| 1.1.2 | Solar Ultraviolet Measurements of Emitted Radiation | 6 |
| 1.1.3 | Discussion | 7 |
| 1.2 | Overview of ADAS | 10 |
| 1.2.1 | The ADAS Project and its Principles | 10 |
| 2 | Outline of Atomic Modelling and Spectral Emission from Plasmas | 16 |
| 2.1 | Plasma Modelling | 17 |
| 2.1.1 | Thermodynamic Equilibrium | 17 |
| 2.1.2 | Equilibrium Timescales | 21 |
| 2.2 | Radiating characteristics of plasmas | 26 |
| 2.2.1 | Relevant Atomic Processes | 26 |
| 2.2.2 | Collisional-Radiative Theory | 35 |
| 2.2.3 | Derived Atomic Data and their Application to Spectroscopic Diagnostics | 42 |
| 3 | New Developments for Data Handling and Production within ADAS | 49 |
| 3.1 | Methods for Handling Data Entry | 49 |
| 3.1.1 | Bundling and Unbundling of Levels and Parents | 49 |
| 3.1.2 | Overview of ADAS209 (General Level Bundling) | 56 |
| 3.1.3 | Overview of ADAS210 (General Level Unbundling) | 68 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 3.1.4 | Atomic Data Verification | 79 |
| 3.1.5 | Overview of ADAS101 (Electron Impact Excitation Cross Section) | 83 |
| 3.2 | Methods for Derived Data Production | 97 |
| 3.2.1 | Ionisation Balance | 97 |
| 4 | The Oxygen Derived Database and Its Application to the Solar Atmosphere | 112 |
| 4.1 | Upgrade of Oxygen Fundamental Database | 114 |
| 4.1.1 | Quality and Accuracy of Electron Impact Excitation Data - Comparison to Previous Work | 114 |
| 4.2 | The use of Oxygen Data for SOHO-CDS and SOHO-SUMER Spectroscopy | 123 |
| 4.3 | Collisional-Radiative Modelling | 129 |
| 4.3.1 | Metastable Resolved Equilibrium Ionisation Balance and Effective Radiated Power | 129 |
| 4.3.2 | Oxygen Excited Populations | 133 |
| 4.4 | Derived Recombination/Ionisation Rate Coefficients and the Resultant Ionisation Balance | 136 |
| 4.5 | Derived Populations and Emissivities | 140 |
| 4.6 | Applications to Solar Atmospheric Structure | 143 |
| 4.7 | Concluding Remarks | 144 |
| 5 | Analysis of Observational Data from the SOHO Spacecraft | 146 |
| 5.1 | Introduction and Objectives of the Analysis | 146 |
| 5.2 | Spectral Line Profile Fitting Procedure | 147 |
| 5.2.1 | Overview of Line Fitting Method | 148 |
| 5.2.2 | Integration with Existing Instrument Software | 149 |
| 5.2.3 | Illustrative Examples and Outline of Analysis Options | 150 |
| 5.3 | Background on the Observations and Problems Associated with the Analysis Methods | 160 |
| 5.3.1 | The Normal Incidence Spectrometer Spectral Atlas Data | 160 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5.3.2 | Integration of the Grazing Incidence Spectrometer to the Analysis and Refinement of Observing Sequences | 168 |
| 5.3.3 | Modifications to Cosmic Ray Cleaning | 180 |
| 5.4 | Outline of Analysis Procedures and Preparation for the DEM | 184 |
| 5.4.1 | Coupling of the Observational Data to the DEM Code | 184 |
| 5.4.2 | Overview of the Analysis Path | 186 |
| 5.5 | Variability of Spectral Line Intensities from the CDS Data | 194 |
| 5.5.1 | Analysis of the Instrumental Accuracy and the Quality of the Observations | 194 |
| 5.5.2 | On the Physical Significance of the Patterns and the existence of a Temperature Dependence of Variability | 206 |
| 5.6 | Demonstration of Method and Reconstruction of the DEM for CDS-NIS208 | |
| 5.6.1 | Preparation of Intensity File | 208 |
| 5.6.2 | Preparation of Kernel Function Collection File | 210 |
| 5.6.3 | Sample Reconstruction of the DEM | 213 |
| 5.6.4 | Sensitivity of Spectral Lines Recorded by CDS-NIS | 217 |
| 5.6.5 | Comment on Solar Atmospheric Structure Determination | 220 |
| 6 | Outline of Future Plasma Models of the Solar Atmosphere and its Activity | 226 |
| 6.1 | Relevant Literature | 227 |
| 6.1.1 | Plasma Fluid Equations | 228 |
| 6.2 | Flare Loop Modelling: Background Literature | 231 |
| 6.3 | MacNeice Flare Hydrodynamic Model | 234 |
| 6.3.1 | The Benchmark Model | 234 |
| 6.4 | Applications to Quiet Sun Activity | 239 |
| 7 | Thesis Summary and Discussion | 242 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 2.1 | Ionisation and radiative recombination coefficient example | 29 |
| 2.2 | Grotrian diagram for Be-like CIII | 41 |
| 3.1 | ADAS 209 flow chart | 58 |
| 3.2 | ADAS 209 input screen | 59 |
| 3.3 | ADAS 209 processing screen | 60 |
| 3.4 | ADAS 209 output screen | 63 |
| 3.5 | ADAS 209 input dataset | 65 |
| 3.6 | ADAS 209 output dataset | 66 |
| 3.7 | ADAS 209 further output example | 67 |
| 3.8 | ADAS 210 flow chart | 69 |
| 3.9 | ADAS 210 input screen | 71 |
| 3.10 | ADAS 210 processing screen | 72 |
| 3.11 | ADAS 210 output dataset | 74 |
| 3.12 | Use of ADAS 209 and ADAS 210 together | 76 |
| 3.13 | Comparison of effective collision strengths | 77 |
| 3.14 | SUPERSTRUCTURE data | 78 |
| 3.15 | ADAS 101 flow chart | 84 |
| 3.16 | ADAS 101 input screen | 85 |
| 3.17 | ADAS 101 processing screen | 88 |
| 3.18 | ADAS 101 segment of output screen | 89 |
| 3.19 | ADAS graph editor | 92 |
| 3.20 | ADAS 101 archive file | 96 |
| 3.21 | Oxygen script file | 105 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 3.22 | CD coefficients for carbon | 107 |
| 3.23 | $N_e\tau_{transient}$ for carbon | 108 |
| 3.24 | $N_e\tau_{transient}$ for other ions | 109 |
| 4.1 | Comparison of effective collision strengths for OV | 113 |
| 4.2 | Comparison of effective collision strengths for OII | 119 |
| 4.3 | Metastable Resolved Equilibrium Ionisation Balance for Oxygen . . . | 131 |
| 4.4 | Metastable Contributions to Radiated Power Function of Oxygen . . . | 132 |
| 4.5 | Contribution Function Example for OII | 135 |
| 4.6 | Generalised Collisional Dielectronic Recombination Coefficient Example | 137 |
| 4.7 | Density Dependence of Ionisation Balance | 139 |
| 4.8 | Influences on Line Emissivity Coefficient Example | 141 |
| 4.9 | Reconstructed Emissivity Coefficient Example | 142 |
| 4.10 | Density Dependence of Contribution Function | 144 |
| 5.1 | Example of Fit to SUMER CIII Multiplet | 155 |
| 5.2 | Example of Use of Variable Widths | 157 |
| 5.3 | Example of Double Gaussian Fit | 158 |
| 5.4 | Example of Data Drop Out | 162 |
| 5.5 | Intensity variation of HeI 515.617Å | 163 |
| 5.6 | Intensity variation of CaX 574.01Å | 164 |
| 5.7 | Intensity variation of OV 629.732Å | 165 |
| 5.8 | Temporal Variation of Intensities | 166 |
| 5.9 | Cosmic Ray Hits on Typical Spectrum | 169 |
| 5.10 | Cosmic Ray Hits on Typical Spectrum | 169 |
| 5.11 | Example of Cosmic Ray Cleaning | 170 |
| 5.12 | Spatial Variation of ‘Fixed’ Pattern | 175 |
| 5.13 | Similarity of ‘Fixed’ Pattern Between Data Sets With The Same LUT | 177 |
| 5.14 | Examples of Fits to the ‘fixed’ Patterns | 180 |
| 5.15 | Test of Modification to Cosmic Ray Removal Routine | 182 |
| 5.16 | Example of Cosmic Ray Cleaning applied to DEMST_1 Data | 183 |
| 5.17 | CDS Data Analysis Path | 187 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.18 | Example of 'skew' Corrected Spectrum | 189 |
| 5.19 | Example of Display for Accepting Data | 190 |
| 5.20 | 1st Set of CHASE Type Plots | 197 |
| 5.21 | 2nd Set of CHASE Type Plots | 198 |
| 5.22 | 3rd Set of CHASE Type Plots | 199 |
| 5.23 | Relative Fluctuation of the Counts | 201 |
| 5.24 | Averaged CHASE Type Patterns | 203 |
| 5.25 | Observational Accuracy against Temperature | 204 |
| 5.26 | Odd Patterns Out | 205 |
| 5.27 | DEM Intensity File | 210 |
| 5.28 | G(Te) Collection File | 212 |
| 5.29 | DEM Demonstration | 216 |
| 5.30 | Reciprocal of QFR's for NIS | 218 |
| 5.31 | Reciprocal of QFR's for NIS1 | 219 |
| 6.1 | Benchmark Hydro Test Results part One | 237 |
| 6.2 | Benchmark Hydro Test Results Part Two | 238 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 1.1 | Summary of CDS capabilities | 5 |
| 1.2 | Summary of SUMER capabilities | 7 |
| 1.3 | ADAS consortium | 12 |
| 1.4 | Solar adf's - key numbers | 13 |
| 1.5 | ADAS series menu | 13 |
| 1.6 | Solar ADAS routines | 15 |
| 2.1 | Plasma relaxation times | 23 |
| 3.1 | Carbon relaxation times | 110 |
| 4.1 | Accuracy of OV data | 115 |
| 4.2 | Transitions of interest in OII | 118 |
| 4.3 | Oxygen database status 1 | 121 |
| 4.4 | Oxygen database status 2 | 122 |
| 4.5 | OI lines in CDS and/or SUMER range | 123 |
| 4.6 | OII lines in CDS and/or SUMER range | 124 |
| 4.7 | OIII lines in CDS and/or SUMER range | 124 |
| 4.8 | OIV lines in CDS and/or SUMER range | 125 |
| 4.9 | OV lines in CDS and/or SUMER range | 126 |
| 4.10 | OV diagnostic line ratios | 127 |
| 4.11 | OVI lines in CDS and/or SUMER range | 128 |
| 4.12 | Metastable Partition for Oxygen | 130 |
| 4.13 | Ionisation Balance Peak Displacements due to Density | 139 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.1 | Contents of Line Fit Structures | 151 |
| 5.2 | IDL keyword options for MLCDS | 152 |
| 5.3 | Results of Fixed Line Position Test | 153 |
| 5.4 | Final NISAT_S Data Set Selection | 167 |
| 5.5 | Comparison of Fitting Options Applied to GIS Data | 179 |
| 5.6 | NISAT_S Data Segment Indices | 191 |
| 5.7 | Lines Selected for Study, T(formation) and Observational Accuracy . | 195 |
| 5.8 | Ions Contributing to Averaged Patterns | 202 |
| 5.9 | Components of Blended Lines and Multiplets | 209 |
| 5.10 | Ions for whom Data was Specifically Generated for the DEM | 211 |
| 5.11 | Solar Photospheric Abundances used in DEM | 214 |
| 5.12 | Reciprocal of QFR's for the five NIS1 outliers | 221 |